EX PARTE OR LATE BUCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

March 8, 1993

1000 ((C) 1000 (C

Honorable Robert L. Livingston House of Representatives Rayburn House Office Building Room 2368 Washington, D.C. 20515 RECEIVED

AUG 1 1 1557

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Congressman Livingston:

This is in reply to your letters of February 10-17, 1993, in which you inquired on behalf of several of your constituents regarding the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (Notice) in PR Docket No. 92-235, 57 FR 54034 (1992). This Notice proposes comprehensive changes to the Commission's Rules governing the private land mobile radio services operating in the frequency bands below 512 MHz.

Your constituents are specifically concerned about the impact of these changes on radio control (R/C) hobby users. Enclosed is a discussion paper concerning our proposals for the 72-76 MHz band. In short, we expect there would be no adverse impact on R/C operations because of any proposal contained in the <u>Notice</u>.

We are, of course, sensitive to the concerns of both users of private land mobile radio spectrum and R/C hobbyists. We will, therefore, take your constituents' concerns into account when we develop final rules in this proceeding. As indicated in the <u>Notice</u>, we remain convinced that without significant regulatory change in radio operations in the bands below 512 MHz, the quality of communications in the private land mobile radio services will continue to deteriorate to the point of endangering public safety and the national economy.

We want to thank you for your interest in this proceeding. Your constituents' letters will be included in the record of the proceeding. We expect final rules to be issued in 1994.

Sincerely,

Richard J. Shiben

Chief, Land Mobile & Microwave Division

Private Radio Bureau

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd O+ /

ROBERT L. LIVINGSTON
JST DISTRICT, LOUISIANA

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

SUBCOMMITTEE:

DOPENSE

POREIGN OPERATIONS

HOUSE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE



PRB 92-235

WASHINGTON OFFICE:
ROOM 2366
RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20615-1801
(202) 225-3016

DISTRICT OFFICE:
111 VETERAIS BLVD.
SUITE 700
METAINE, LA 70005
(504) 588–2753

Congress of the United States Souse of Representatives

Washington, D€ 20515-1801

February 17, 1993

Mr. Terry Haines Chief of Staff Office of Congressional Affaris FCC 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Haines:

Enclosed please find communication I have recently received from one of my constituents for your consideration. I would greatly appreciate your complying with their request, if possible.

I would appreciate your advising me of your action in this matter and returning the letters to me with your reply to my Washington Office.

Sincerely,

ROBERT L. L.VINGSTON Member of Congress

RLL/ss

Enclosure

The Honorable Robert Livingston 2368 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Livingston:

I am a retired person who has been involved with the building and flying of madel airplanes for over 50 years. Many of those years have been connected with radio controlled models. I am very active in two local model clubs who build and fly for both sport and competition. I have been a member of the Academy of Model Aeronautics since 1939.

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72 - 76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if the new rules are adopted.

When we fly our model airplanes under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

Please understand that many model airplanes have wingspans up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 30 or 40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build, but more to the point, they are capable causing property damage, serious injury, or even

death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the craft. We often fly our models at organized events and contests where hundreds of operators participate. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to assure a safe flying environment.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of radios, but we have a considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to many thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72 - 76 MHz band.

Sincerely,

John J. Peterson 1121 Rue Toulouse Slidell, LA 70458

February 5, 1993

The Honorable Robert Livingston U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

FEC +4 ,000

Dear Mr. Livingston:

I have been interested in radio controlled model airplanes since 1981. Now as a medical student, I use my aviation hobby as a respite from the daily stresses that come from the study of medicine.

It has come to my attention that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently considering rules that will change my ability to enjoy my hobby. I am very concerned about these proposed rules. The FCC proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72 - 76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we fly our model airplanes under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

Please understand that many model airplanes have wing spans up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 30 or 40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing property damage, serious injury, or even death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the craft. We often fly our models at organized events and contests where hundreds of operators participate. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to assure a safe flying environment

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of radios, but we have a considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72 - 76 MHz band.

Sincerely, 2 , luce

FEB 1 2 1993

DEAR SIR

I LIKED AIRING MY VIEWS ON THESE VERY
IMPORTANT ISSUES.

I AM A YONG LOVER MIDDLE CLASS

WHITE MALE.

"I Think RUSh is RIGHT"
SEND US REPUBLICAN HELP!

FOREIGN AID SHOULD BE ALL BUTCUTOUT!
"CUT THE BUDGET" NOT AMERICA"

PLEASE SEND ME HELP BY VOTING DOWN

PR DOCKET 92235

"JUST SAY NO" TO THE FCC

I FLY RADIO CONTROLLED MODEL AIRPLANES

I HAVE INVESTED A LOT OF TIME + MONEY IN THIS.

PLEASE DON'T LET THE FCC TAKE THIS AWAY.

RAIDO FREQUENCIES TO CLOSE OR ON TOP OF

THE ONES WE USE WILL DO JUST THAT.

PS. CALL OR WRITE AMYTIME 504-893 9492 ...24092 HWY 435 ABITA SPRINGS, LA, 70420

Thank you
Yours TRULY
Scott M. CARNESI
List B Commi

FEB 11 1993

PROPOSED RULE MAKING: PR DOCKET 92-235

THE HONDOABLE BOB LIVINGSTON

U.S. HOUSE OF REPS.

INASH INGTON DC 20515

I AM RETIRED AND DERIVE MANY HOURS OF PLEASURE FRAIN
BUILDING AND FLYING RADIO CONTROLLED MODELS.

I DWN SEVEN PIECES OF RADID FQUIPMENT THAT WOULD BE UNUSUBLE IF THIS FREDILENCY ASSIGNMENT IS ADDITED.

THE MODELS I BUILD WEIGH AS MUCH AS TEN POUNDS AND DRELATE AT 75 TO BD MILES PER HOUR.

SINCE THE PROPOSED NEW FREQUENCIES ARE SO CLOSE, INTERFERE-

I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT PROPOSED RULES THAT ARE

CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS

COMMISSION (FCC). THE PROCEEDING IS PR DOCKET 92-235. IF ADDITED,

THE NEW PULES WILL GREATLY REDUCE THE USABILITY OF FREQUENCIES

ASSIGNED FOR MODEL USE AND INCREASE THE RISK OF ACCIDENTS

AND ATTENDENT LIABILITY FOR CONTROLLING MODEL AIRPLANES.

PLEASE HELP ME CONTINUE THE SAFE ENJOYMENT OF MY PASTIME BY NOT ALLOWING THE FCC TO CAPPY OUT ITS PROPOSALS FOR THE 72-76 MAZ BAND.

STUCERELY,

Paul C. Nagran 437 COUNTRY CLUB SLIDELL LA



Iel-2/1992

Congressman Robert Levingston House of Representatives, washingon, D. CFEB 11 1993 Ref: Febral Communications Commission Rule Change NPRM-PR Docket 92-235) FCC famel Mobil Sevice I am retired and derive many hours of pleasure from brilling and operating sachio controlled madel anglanes. Wis rule change has been called to my attention. The proposed change affects the radio frequencies we use for sucho controlled model planes: The 72-76 MHz band. The number of available frequences will be reduced, and safely will be a factor. Radio controlled asplanes bring young and old together in this hobby, is an active building and flying hobby, and is an encouraging entry for young people interested in space and air technology not available in schools. Please see the attached. also I invite you to see this hofby in action on a Sunday Morning if you contact me for details. Successly Byun Jewy P.S. Shave built model auplanes since 1930!

The Honorable Bob Livingston: U.S. House of Representatives Washington , D.C. 20515
January 25, 1993

Dear Mr. Livingston:

I am presently employed by Pratt-Whitney as an electronic technician and actively pursue my interest in aviation by constructing and operating radio controlled models. This is a hobby that I've been able to share with my two children and wife. I am a very active member in a local club with approximately 100 members. In the local area around St. Tammany parish there are at least four other such clubs.

This brings up the reason I am writing to you today. (This is the first time after 18 years of voting that I've ever written to a political figure for help.) I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72 -76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we fly our airplanes under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

Please understand that many model airplanes have wingspans up to 10 feet and weigh as much as 30 or 40 pounds. These models are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing property damage, serious injury, or

even death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the craft. We often fly our models at organized events and contests where hundreds of operators participate. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to assure a safe flying environment.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of radios, but we have a considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. This hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry. I read recently where 90% of the children who became involved in the sport of building or flying model airplanes eventually became engineers or technicians. I can attest to that figure and hope you will help me to pass this on to my son and daughter who both enjoy building and flying with me. Myself and many others have several thousand dollars invested in this sport which supports a large industry base as well as many small businesses. If you could see the response we have by young and old to our public demonstrations at our meets I'm sure you would agree to the positive influence this sport brings to the community.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not allowing the FCC to carry out it's proposals for the 72-76 MHz band.

Sincerely,

Blaim S. Rozon

Dear Mr. Livingston: 'FEB -4 1999 I am retired and desire many have of enjoyment from constructing and operating radio controlled model airplane. I am very concerned stout proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Februar Communication Commission. The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usefulty of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendent Distility for controlling model suplace Please help me continue the safe anyoyment of my partime by not allowing the FCC to carry out ite proposale for the 72-76 MHz land.

> Sincerely, James W. Morgan 635 Tucker Ove. Jefferson, La. 70121.1839



R.C. FIELDS, INC.

P.O. BOX 5918 # 162 METAIRIE, LA 70009



28 JAN 93

DEAR SIR, FEB 4 PLEASE HELP THE RADIO CONTROL MODELLENS DEFENT THE FCC'I PROPOSED RULE MAKING (NPRM-PR DOCKET 92-235). IF THIS PAILES, NILL BE LOSS FOR GENERATIONS TO COME. TODAY, PARENT AND EDUCATORY, BECAUTE OF THE SAFETY OF OUR CURRENT FREQUENCIES, ARE AllowING UI TO EDUCATE GRADE SCHOOLEN IATO THE "HAND ON" EXPERIENCE OF AERONAUTICE (MODEL STYLE). TONT LET THEM KILL WHAT HAS TAKEN US 4044 TO OBTAIN. Alton L. Dobbins P.O. Box 52356 Shaw AFB, SC 29152

The Honorable Robert L. Livingston U. S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Livingston:

I am active duty Air Force currently assigned to Shaw AFB, SC and in my off time, I derive many hours of pleasure from the construction and flying of radio controlled aircraft. I am the secretary, safety officer and newsletter editor for the local model aircraft club and have been active in radio control modeling for 15 years.

I am very concerned about proposed rules changes under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that impact the frequency band in which we operate, specifically PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model aircraft.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model aircraft, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we fly our model aircraft under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by you, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly reduced.

Please understand that many model aircraft have wing spans up to 10 feet and weight as much as 30 or 40 pounds. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing property damage, serious injury, or even death if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the aircraft. We often fly our models at organized events and contests where hundreds of operators participate. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to assure a safe flying environment.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of radios, but we have considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and contributes to the advancement and development of the commercial aviation industry. Model aircraft operators also put hundreds of thousands of dollars into the economy annually.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my pastime by not approving PR Docket 92-235.

Sincerely,

AHIK

Thomas Moran Tooker 1 Jay Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70124

January 26, 1993

The Honorable Bob Livingston United States House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515 FEB - 1 1993

Dear Mr. Livingston:

I am nearing retirement age, have given up racing full scale yachts because of the cost and derive many hours of enjoyment from constructing and racing radio controlled model yachts. We have a large, active membership in the Greater New Orleans Model Sailing Club, with most of our members either now or in the recent past having been active members of Southern Yacht Club, New Orleans Yacht Club, Pontchartrain Yacht Club, etc. But with the cost of purchasing, equipping and crewing real sail boats many of us just cannot afford any sailing activity other than our model yachts.

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model yachts.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model yachts, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

When we sail our model yachts under radio control, we go to great lengths to assure the safety of the operators and bystanders and the protection of property. Many of our safety precautions involve the careful coordination and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

Please understand that many model yachts are nearly five feet long and weigh as much as 30 pounds or more. The models themselves are expensive to build; but more to the point, they are capable of causing property damage or serious injury if radio interference causes the operator to lose control of the craft. We often sail our models at organized events and contests where dozens of operators participate. We need the use of our full complement of radio frequencies in order to assure a safe sailing environment.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to improve the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. The FCC may not think we are as important as business users of radios, but we have a considerable investment in our models and in our radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself.

Please help me continue the safe enjoyment of my sport by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposals for the 72-76 MHz band.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Tooker

TMT: jm

THE HONORABLE ROBERT L. LIVINGSTON U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

FEBRUARY 8,1993

DEAR MR. LIVINGSTON:

I AM ACTIVE IN ONE OF OUR LOCAL MODEL AIRCRAFT RADIO CONTROL CLUBS AND WOULD LIKE TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE PROPOSED F.C.C. PR DOCKET 92-235.

THIS PROPOSAL WOULD INSERT FREQUENCIES AS CLOSE AS 2500 CYCLE FROM SOME OF OUR CHANNELS AND WOULD BE USED FOR LAND MOBILE SERVICE. THE TRANSMITTER POWER USED FOR THIS SERVICE WOULD BE SEVERAL TIME GREATER THAN THAT USED FOR RADIO CONTROL MODEL AIRCRAFT AND COULD CREATE AN INTERFERENCE PROBLEM FOR US. COMPLETE LOSS OF CONTROL OF A MODEL AIRCRAFT THAT CAN WEIGH AS MUCH AS FORTY (40) POUNDS AND TRAVELING AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED CAN CAUSE EXTENSIVE DAMAGE AND POSSIBLE LOSS OF LIFE. OUR ONLY CHOICE TO ENSURE SAFETY WOULD BE TO ABANDON ALL CHANNELS ADJACENT TO THE PROPOSED NEW FREQUENCIES. THIS WOULD REDUCE CONSIDERABLY THE NUMBER OF CHANNELS AVAILABLE FOR MODEL AVIATION.

PLEASE HELP ME CONTINUE A SAFE AND ENJOYABLE PASTIME BY NOT ALLOWING THE PROPOSAL TO BE CARRIED OUT.

YOURS TRULY,

GEORGE L. SCOTT 5104 WARWICK DR.

MARRERO, LA. 70072

George L. Scott

504-341-1327

557 | | 12-11

Bushnell C. SEAVEY 1901 JEFFERSON HUUY JEFFERSON, LA. 70121 FEBRUARY 10, 1997

THE HONORABLE BOB LIVINGSTON 111 VETERANS BLVD, STE 700 METAIRIE, LA. 70001

FFB 16 1993

DEAR Mr. LIVINGETON

AS A LONG TIME RESIDENT OF LOUISING NEARING RETIDENT I HAVE ALL AYS BEEN INTERESTED IN ALLAHUN, HEALTH LIMITATIONS WAVE CONCENTRATED MY INTEREST IN BUILDING AND CORPLANES RADIC CONTROLLED MICOEL DIRPLANES - SOMETHING I HAVE DONE AS A HOBBY SINCE I WAS A KID I BELONG TO TWO CLUBS, BUTH OF LOWICH HOLE PROGRAMMS FOR YOUTHS TO GET THEM INTERESTED AND OFF THE STREETS. THIS HOBBY IS NOW IN TERORBY.

CURRENTLY THERE ARE DECROSED RULES CHANGES UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FUC) THE PROCEEDING IS PROCEET 92-235. If ADOPTED, THE NEW ROLES WOULD GREATLY REDUCE THE USEABILITY OF FREQUENCIES NOW ASSIGNED FOR MODEL USE AND INCREASE THE RISK OF ACCIDENT AND ATTENDANT LIABILITY FOR CONTROLLING MODEL AIRPLANES.

QUE 122010 CENTROL FEEGUENCIES ARE IN THE 72-76 MHZ BAND
THE FCC WANTS TO CREATE MORE COMMENCIAL LAND MOBILE FREGENERICIES BY SPLITTING THEM INTO NARROWER BAND WIDTHS AS A RESULT
THESE COMMERCIAL FREQUENCIES WILL CAUSE INTERFERENCE TO RADIO
CONTROL MODELS, I UNDERSTAND THAT IF THESERULES ARE ADOPTED OUR
SO FREQUENCIES WOULD BE REDUCED TO 19 AND THOSE WOULD

(even)

BE SUBJECTED TO INTENFERENCE. THIS INTERFERENCE IS WHAT LAUSES ACCIDENTS AND GREATTY REDUCES THE MARGIN OF DAFETY-SAY NOTHING OF EXPENSE IN LOSS OF EQUIPMENT.

WHE FCL MAY NOT THINK WE ARE AS IMPORTANT AS EXHER USERS OF RADIOS, BUT WE HAVE A CONSIDERABLE INVESTMENT IN CUIL MODELS (BOTH TIME + MONEY). THE HOBBY PREVIDED MANY HOURS OF ENTOYMENT AND EDUCATION FOR THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF. IT IS A NEBBY THAT CONTRIBUTES TO THE DEVELOPMENT AND ADVANCEMENT OF THE ALAITICH INDUSTRIBUTED AND ADVANCEMENT OF THE ALAITICH INDUSTRIBUTED AND LASTS A LIFETIME - SIMETHING ON YOUNG AND COLD.

PLEASE HELP US CONTINUE THE SAFE ENTOYMENT OF THIS
NUCLTUMNICE PASTIME BY NOT ALLOWING THE FCC TO
CARRY OUT ITS PROPOSAL (PR DICKET 92-235) FOR
THE 72-76 MH2 BAND

SINCETELY (Submed & Seoney

CC: 2368 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BESG WASHINGTON DC WYST